1

# CITY OF CALGARY ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of a complaint filed with the City of Calgary Assessment Review Board pursuant to Part 11 of the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

#### Between:

#### ALTUS GROUP LTD., Complainant

and

#### THE CITY OF CALGARY, Respondent

#### Before:

### J. KRYSA, Presiding Officer M. PETERS, Member T. USSELMAN, Member

A hearing was convened on August 26, 2010 in Boardroom 12, at the office of the Assessment Review Board, located at 1212 - 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta in respect of the property assessment prepared by the assessor of the City of Calgary, and entered in the 2010 Assessment Roll as follows:

ROLL NUMBER:	047041207
LOCATION ADDRESS:	3015 12 <sup>th</sup> Street NE
HEARING NUMBER:	57706
ASSESSMENT:	\$3,560,000

### PART A: BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY UNDER COMPLAINT

The subject property is a 41,987 square foot (sq.ft.) parcel of land improved with a 25,108 sq.ft., low rise suburban office building, constructed in 1981, with paved surface parking.

## PART B: PROCEDURAL or JURISDICTIONAL MATTERS

The Assessment Review Board derives its authority to make decisions under Part 11 of the Act.

At the commencement of the hearing, the Respondent raised the following matter:

The Respondent acknowledges that several recent Board decisions have addressed the issue of NE Calgary suburban office vacancy, and those decisions have established that the 9.0% vacancy allowance coefficient applied in the mass appraisal process does not reflect the typical market conditions as of July 1, 2009, which was found to be 14.0%.

Further, as the evidence and argument in the current matter before the Board is essentially unchanged from that previously submitted in the other matters, the Respondent submitted that an assessment value of \$3,150,000 would be reflective of, and consistent with the recent decisions of the Board to revise the vacancy allowance coefficient from 9.0% to 14.0%

The Complainant agreed and accepted that the proposed assessment value of \$3,150,000 is a correct, fair and equitable value for the subject property, and did not further pursue the matters and issues identified on the complaint form, or in exhibit C1.

#### **Decision - Preliminary matter**

The Board accepts that the Respondent's proposed assessment value of \$3,150,000 is a correct, fair and equitable value for the subject property.

### PART C: MATTERS / ISSUES

As a result of the above noted preliminary matter, the Board did not proceed to hear the matters and particulars of the complaint as identified in sections 4 and 5 of the complaint form, or from page 1 of exhibit C1.

## PART D: FINAL DECISION

The assessment is revised from \$3,560,000 to \$3,150,000.

Dated at the City of Calgary in the Province of Alberta, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2010.

J. Krysa

Presiding Officer

# CARB 1286/2010-P

#### Page 3 of 3

۰.

#### APPENDIX "A"

DOCUMENTS RECEIVED BY THE ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD:

<u>NO.</u>		ITEM	
1.	Exhibit C1	Complainant's Evidence	
2.	Exhibit R1	Respondent's Evidence	

### APPENDIX "B"

#### **ORAL REPRESENTATIONS**

PERS	ON APPEARING	CAPACITY	_
1.	B. Ryan	Representative of the Complainant	
2.	A. Jerome	Representative of the Respondent	

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.